

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,360	03/30/2004	Katsunari Morishima	001309.00058	001309.00058 4113	
22907	7590 05/02/2006		EXAMINER		
BANNER & WITCOFF			MITCHELL, KA	MITCHELL, KATHERINE W	
1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			3677		
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/812,360	MORISHIMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Katherine W. Mitchell	3677	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Katherine W. Mitchell	3677			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause		
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			00000		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed emendme	ent concoling the		
non-allowable claim(s).	nowabie ii submitted iii a separate,	umery med amendme	ant canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	•		•		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu it argues limitations not entered in claims.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper_N	lo(s)	n l		
13.		mulle			
		Katherine W Mitche	A		
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3677			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: additional new limitations in claims 1 and 15 require further considertion and search.